

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,795	SAITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALBERT H. CUTLER	2622	

All Participants:

(1) ALBERT H. CUTLER

(2) W. William Park (Reg. 55,523)

Date of Interview: 26 November 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: N/A

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:45 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner pointed out that claims 7 and 12 recite the same subject matter, and proposed entering an Examiner's Amendment cancelling claim 12 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Park agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendment.